

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of AT&T)

Communications of the Southwest, Inc., for a)

Certificate of Service Authority to Provide) Case No. TA-96-322

Basic Local Exchange and Local Exchange)

Services.)

)

REPORT AND ORDER

Issue Date: February 21, 1997

Effective Date: March 4, 1997

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of AT&T)

Communications of the Southwest, Inc., for a)

Certificate of Service Authority to Provide) Case No. TA-96-322

Basic Local Exchange and Local Exchange)

Services.)

)

APPEARANCES

Paul S. DeFord, Lathrop & Gage L.C., 2345 Grand Boulevard, Suite 2500,

Kansas City, Missouri 64108, for AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.

Carl J. Lumley, Leland B. Curtis, and Elaine M. Walsh, Curtis, Oetting,

Heinz, Garrett & Soule, P.C., 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200, Clayton,

Missouri 63105, for MCI Telecommunications Corporation, MCImetro Access

**Transmission Services, Inc., and Brooks Fiber Communications Services of
Kansas City, Inc.**

**Paul H. Gardner, Goller, Gardner and Feather, P.C., 131 East High Street,
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, for TCG St. Louis, Inc.**

**Charles Brent Stewart, French & Stewart Law Offices, 1001 Cherry Street,
Suite 302, Columbia, Missouri 65201,**

and

**Morton J. Posner, Swidler & Berlin, Chartered, 3000 K Street, N.W.,
Suite 300, Washington, DC 20007, for MFS Intelenet of Missouri, Inc.**

**Richard S. Brownlee, III, Hendren & Andrae, 235 East High Street, Post
Office Box 1069, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for Digital Teleport, Inc.**

**Thomas E. Pulliam, Ottsen, Mauzé, Leggat & Belz, L.C., 112 South
Hanley Road, Clayton, Missouri 63105, for Ameritech Communications Inter-national,
Inc.**

**Diana J. Harter, Attorney, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, 100 North
Tucker Boulevard, Room 630, St. Louis, Missouri 63101-1976, for
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.**

**Linda K. Gardner, Senior Attorney, United Telephone Company of Missouri,
5454 West 110th Street, Overland Park, Kansas 66211, for United Telephone
Company of Missouri.**

**Victor S. Scott and Craig S. Johnson, Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace &
Baumhoer, L.L.C., 301 East McCarty Street, Post Office Box 1438,
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for: Alma Telephone Company,
Chariton Valley Telephone Corporation, Choctaw Telephone Company,**

2

**Mid-Missouri Telephone Company, Mo-Kan Dial, Inc., Northeast Missouri Rural
Telephone Company, and Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc.**

Sondra B. Morgan and W.R. England, III, Brydon, Swearngen & England, P.C.,

312 East Capitol Avenue, Post Office Box 456, Jefferson City,

Missouri 65102, for: BPS Telephone Company, Cass County Telephone

Company, Citizens Telephone Company of Higginsville, Missouri, Inc.,

Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Ellington Telephone Company, Farber

Telephone Company, Goodman Telephone Company, Inc., Granby Telephone

Company, Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation, Green Hills Telephone

Corporation, Holway Telephone Company, KLM Telephone Company, Kingdom

Telephone Company, Lathrop Telephone Company, Le-Ru Telephone Company,

Mark Twain Rural Telephone Company, McDonald County Telephone Company,

Miller Telephone Company, New Florence Telephone Company, New London

Telephone Company, Orchard Farm Telephone Company, Oregon Farmers Mutual

Telephone Company, Ozark Telephone Company, Rock Port Telephone Company,

Seneca Telephone Company, Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc., and

Stoutland Telephone Company; Bourbeuse Telephone Company and Fidelity

Telephone Company.

Julie Thomas Bowles, Attorney, Sprint Communications Company, L.P.,

8140 Ward Parkway, Kansas City, Missouri 64114, for Sprint Communications

Company, L.P.

Mark P. Johnson, and Lisa C. Creighton, Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal,

Twentieth Century Tower II, 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100, Kansas City,

Missouri 64111, for Kansas City Fiber Network, L.P.

Mary Ann (Garr) Young, William D. Steinmeier, P.C., Post Office Box 104595,

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for Consolidated Communications Telecom

Services Inc.

Michael F. Dandino, Senior Public Counsel, Office of the Public Counsel,

Post Office Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the Office of the

Public Counsel and the public.

Colleen M. Dale, Deputy General Counsel, Missouri Public Service

Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

ADMINISTRATIVE

LAW JUDGE: Dale Hardy Roberts and Joyce Hainen.

All statutory references are to Revised Statutes of Missouri 1994 and ¹ the 1996 Cumulative Supplement, unless otherwise indicated.

The following companies comprise the "Small Telephone Company Group": ² BPS Telephone Company, Cass County Telephone Company, Citizens Telephone Company of Higginsville, Missouri, Inc., Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Ellington Telephone Company, Farber Telephone Company, Goodman Telephone Company, Inc., Granby Telephone Company, Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation, Green Hills Telephone Corporation, Holway Telephone Company, KLM Telephone Company, Kingdom Telephone Company, Lathrop Telephone Company, Le-Ru Telephone Company, Mark Twain Rural Telephone Company, McDonald County Telephone Company, Miller Telephone Company, New Florence Telephone Company, New London Telephone Company, Orchard Farm Telephone Company, Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company, Ozark Telephone

³

REPORT AND ORDER

Procedural History

AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. (AT&T or Applicant) applied to the Commission on March 29, 1996, for a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri under Sections 392.410 - .450, RSMo 1994. AT&T asked the Commission to classify ¹ it as a competitive company and waive certain statutes and rules as authorized by Sections 392.361 and 392.420. AT&T is a Delaware corporation

with its offices at 1100 Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

**The Commission issued an Order and Notice on April 2, 1996,
directing parties wishing to intervene in the case to do so by May 2, 1996.**

**The Commission issued a second Order and Notice on April 16, 1996, after
AT&T filed a motion to amend its application on April 9, 1996. The
intervention date was the same as the previous notice, May 2, 1996. On
May 10, 1996 the Commission issued an order setting prehearing conference
and granting permission to intervene to the following entities:**

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT)

The Small Telephone Company Group ²

**Company, Rock Port Telephone Company, Seneca Telephone Company, Steelville
Telephone Exchange, Inc., and Stoutland Telephone Company.**

The following companies comprise the "Mid-Missouri Group": Alma ³

**Telephone Company, Chariton Valley Telephone Corporation, Choctaw Telephone
Company, Mid-Missouri Telephone Company, Mo-Kan Dial, Inc., Northeast
Missouri Rural Telephone Company, and Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc.**

4

Bourbeuse Telephone Company

Fidelity Telephone Company

MCI Telecommunications Corporation (MCI)

GTE Midwest Incorporated (GTE)

United Telephone Company of Missouri (United)

**The Mid-Missouri Group of local exchange companies
(Mid-Missouri Group)³**

Kansas City Fiber Network, L.P.

TCG St. Louis (TCG)

Consolidated Communications Telecom Services Inc.

On May 31, 1996 the Commission issued a notice stating that Ameritech

Communications International, Inc. (Ameritech) was granted participation without intervention at the prehearing conference. On August 9, 1996 AT&T, MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc. (MCImetro) and Brooks Fiber Communications of Kansas City, Inc. (Brooks) filed a joint motion to consolidate cases TA-96-322, TA-96-355 and TA-96-438. On August 16, 1996 Digital Teleport, Inc. (Case No. TA-96-406) filed a Motion to consolidate cases. On August 18, 1996 SWBT filed a response to the parties' joint motion to consolidate cases, opposing such consolidation. On August 19, 1996 Sprint Communications Company L.P. (Case No. TA-96-424) filed a motion to consolidate cases. On August 21, MFS Intelenet of Missouri, Inc. (MFSI) (Case No. TA-96-424) filed a motion to consolidate.

On August 20, 1996, the Commission issued its Order consolidating the cases TA-96-322, TA-96-355 and TA-96-438. On September 10, 1996 the Commission issued its Order consolidating AT&T, MCImetro and Brooks with TCG, MFSI, Digital Teleport, Ameritech and Sprint. On August 19, 1996 AT&T filed an amended application.

5

The parties filed a Stipulation and Agreement (SA) on September 23, 1996; AT&T filed a motion asking that the Commission establish a hearing date to consider approval of the agreement. The Commission Staff (Staff) filed Suggestions in Support of the Stipulation and Agreement on October 15, 1996. Staff's suggestions included sworn testimony by members of the Commission's telecommunications staff. The Commission conducted a hearing on October 23, 1996, where the parties made presentations and were available for Commission questions.

Background

AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., which is certificated to provide intrastate interexchange services in Missouri, seeks authority

to provide facilities-based and resold basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications services as well. Local exchange services are considered competitive services and are subject to different rules and statutory requirements than are basic local services. Therefore, they will be considered separately in the discussion below.

AT&T filed an amended application on August 19, 1996. In the amended application AT&T seeks authority to provide basic local services in all exchanges currently served by SWBT, GTE and United. The specific exchanges in which AT&T proposes to operate are described in Attachment B to the amended application. AT&T is not asking for certification in any area that is served by a small incumbent local exchange provider. AT&T is requesting that its basic local exchange services be classified as competitive and that certain statutes and regulatory rules be waived.

6

Discussion

A. Requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)

Commission rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) requires a foreign corporation applying for certification to provide telecommunications services to include in its application a certificate from the Secretary of State showing it is authorized to do business in Missouri, a description of the types of service it intends to provide, a description of the exchanges where it will offer service, and a proposed tariff with a forty-five day effective date. AT&T has provided all the required documentation except for the proposed tariff. The applicant has requested a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H) because it is impractical for AT&T to submit a tariff for approval until it has executed interconnection agreements with the ILECs involved. AT&T cannot price its resold services until it has reached price agreements with the ILECs from which it will purchase those

services. The applicant has agreed that, once it is possible to do so, it will submit to the Commission for approval a proposed tariff with a minimum 30-day effective date. AT&T will file the tariff in Case No. TA-96-322 and give notice of the tariff filing to all the parties and participants.

Along with that filing AT&T has agreed to provide a written disclosure of all interconnection agreements it has entered into which affect its Missouri service areas (SA page 12, ¶ 9).

B. Local Exchange Certification

The Commission finds that AT&T's entry into competition in the local exchange telecommunications market is in the public interest and the applicant should be granted a certificate of service authority. The Commission finds that the local exchange services AT&T proposes to offer

7

are competitive and the company should be classified as a competitive company. The Commission is of the opinion that waiving the statutes and Commission rules set out in Ordered Paragraph 3 is reasonable and not detrimental to the public interest.

The Commission determines, by authority of Section 392.470, that AT&T should comply with the following regulatory requirements as reasonable and necessary conditions of certification:

- (1) Applicant must comply with reasonable requests by Staff for financial and operating data to allow Staff to monitor the intraLATA toll market. § 386.320.3.
- (2) Applicant must file tariffs containing rules and regulations applicable to customers, a description of the services provided, and a list of rates associated with those services in accordance with 4 CSR 240-30.010 and § 392.220.
- (3) Applicant may not unjustly discriminate between its customers.

§§ 392.200, 392.400.

(4) Applicant must comply with all applicable rules of the Commission except those specifically waived by this order.

§§ 386.570, 392.360.

(5) Applicant must file a Missouri-specific annual report.

§§ 392.210, 392.390.1.

(6) Applicant must comply with jurisdictional reporting requirements as set out in each local exchange company's access services tariffs. § 392.390.3.

(7) Applicant must submit to the staff, on a confidential basis, a copy of the jurisdictional report it submits to local exchange companies. The report must be submitted within ten (10) days of the date on which it is submitted to the local exchange company.

C. Basic Local Service Classification

Section 392.455 sets out the requirements for granting certificates to provide basic local telecommunications service to new entrants. A new entrant must: (1) possess sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service; (2) demonstrate that the services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission; (3) set forth the geographic area in which it proposes to offer service, and demonstrate that such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications company and is no smaller than an exchange; and (4) offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. In addition, the Commission must give due consideration to equitable access for all Missourians to affordable

telecommunications services, regardless of where they live or the amount of their income.

1. Technical, Financial and Managerial Resources and Abilities.

In the Stipulation and Agreement the parties unanimously agree that AT&T proposes to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission (SA page 9, ¶ 7B). The parties unanimously agree that AT&T has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies in the same areas, and such area is no smaller than an exchange (SA page 9, ¶ 7C). The parties agree that AT&T will offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service (SA page 10, ¶ 7D). Further, the parties agree that AT&T has agreed to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area where it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of their residence or

Footnote 11 to the SA states: "The Agreement in Paragraph 7E of this 4 Stipulation and Agreement is without prejudice to each Applicant's right to appear, after proper application and in accord with Commission rules and regulations, in any rulemaking proceeding or other proceeding regarding the Commission's considerations of equitable access under Section 392.455.(5), RSMo. Furthermore, such agreement should not be construed as an admission or conclusion of any Applicant that Section 392.455.(5), RSMo. creates new or specific duties or obligations on telecommunications companies to provide equitable access."

9

their income to affordable telecommunications services (SA page 10, ¶ 7E). 4

AT&T has sought the authority to provide services which will serve the

public interest (SA page 10, ¶ 7F).

2. The Entrant's Proposed Services Satisfy the Minimum Standards Established by the Commission.

AT&T stated in its application that the services it will provide will satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission. AT&T will file and maintain basic local service tariffs with the Commission in the same manner and form as the Commission requires of incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies with which AT&T seeks to compete. AT&T will meet the minimum basic local standards, including quality of service and billing standards as the Commission requires of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies with which AT&T seeks to compete. Additionally AT&T agreed to offer basic local services that will satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission (SA page 9, ¶ 7B).

3. The Geographic Area in Which the Applicant Proposes to Offer Service.

AT&T set out all the exchanges in which it proposes to offer services in Attachment B to its amended application. AT&T has defined its service area by means of the tariffed exchange areas of the incumbent local exchange companies presently providing basic local service in those exchanges. The parties agreed that AT&T has sufficiently identified the

10

geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and that the area follows incumbent local exchange company exchange boundaries and is no smaller than an exchange (SA page 9, ¶ 7C).

4. The Offering of Basic Local Telecommunications Service as a Separate and Distinct Service.

AT&T has agreed to offer basic local telecommunications service

as a separate and distinct service (SA page 10, ¶ 7D).

5. Equitable Access for All Missourians to Affordable Telecommunications Services.

AT&T has agreed to provide equitable access, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it will offer basic local services in compliance with § 392.455(5).

See SA page 10, ¶ 7E.

D. Competitive Classification

The Commission may classify a telecommunications provider as a competitive company if the Commission determines it is subject to sufficient competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation.

§ 392.361.2. In making that determination, the Commission may consider such factors as market share, financial resources and name recognition, among others. In the matter of the investigation for the purpose of determining the classification of the services provided by interexchange telecommunication companies within the State of Missouri, **30 Mo. P.S.C. (N.S.) 16 (1989)**; In the matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's application for classification of certain services as transitionally competitive, **1 Mo. P.S.C.3d 479, 484 (1992)**. **In addition, all the services a competitive company provides must be classified as competitive.**

§ 392.361.3. The Commission has found that whether a service is

11

competitive is a subject for case-by-case examination and that different criteria may be given greater weight depending upon the service being considered. Id. at 487.

The parties have agreed that AT&T should be classified as a competitive telecommunications company. (SA pages 10-11, ¶ 8). The parties have also agreed that AT&T's switched exchange access services may

be classified as competitive services, conditioned upon certain limitations on AT&T's ability to charge for its access services. AT&T has agreed that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, its originating and terminating access rates will be no greater than the lowest Commission-approved corresponding access rates in effect at the date of certification for the large incumbent LECs within those service areas in which AT&T seeks to operate. The parties have agreed that the grant of service authority and competitive classification to AT&T should be expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of § 392.200, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to §§ 392.220 and 392.230, rather than §§ 392.500 and 392.510 (SA pages 10-12, ¶ 8).

The parties agreed that waiver of the following statutes is appropriate: §§ 392.210.2, 392.270, 392.280, 392.290.1, 392.300.2, 392.310, 392.320, 392.330, and 392.340. The parties also agreed that application of these Commission rules could be waived: 4 CSR 240-10.020, 4 CSR 240-30.040, and 4 CSR 240-35 (SA pages 7-8, ¶ 4).

12

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact:

1. The Commission finds that competition in the local exchange and basic local exchange telecommunications markets is in the public interest.
2. The Commission finds that AT&T has met the requirements of

4 CSR 240-2.060(4) for applicants for certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications services with the exception of the filing of a tariff with a 45-day effective date.

3. The Commission finds that AT&T has demonstrated good cause to support a temporary waiver of the tariff filing requirement and the waiver shall be granted.

4. The Commission finds that the local exchange services market is competitive and that granting AT&T a certificate of service authority to provide local exchange telecommunications services is in the public interest. AT&T's certificate should become effective when its tariff becomes effective.

5. The Commission finds that AT&T meets the statutory requirements for provision of basic local telecommunications services and has agreed to abide by those requirements in the future. The Commission determines that granting AT&T a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications service is in the public interest.

13

AT&T's certificate should become effective when its tariff becomes effective.

6. The Commission finds that AT&T is a competitive company and should be granted waiver of the statutes and rules set out in Ordered Paragraph 4.

7. The Commission finds that AT&T's certification and competitive status are expressly conditioned upon the continued applicability of § 392.200, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum

switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to §§ 392.220 and 392.230, rather than §§ 392.500 and 392.510, RSMo.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has reached the following conclusions of law:

The Commission has the authority to grant certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications service within the state of Missouri. AT&T has requested certification under Sections 392.410 -.450.

Those statutes permit the Commission to grant a certificate of service authority where the grant of authority is in the public interest.

The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (47 U.S.C. §§ 251, et seq.) and Sections 392.185 and 392.200 - 392.455 were designed to institute competition in the basic local exchange telecommunications market in order to benefit all telecommunications consumers. Section 392.185 states that "the provisions of this chapter shall be construed to:

(1) Promote universally available and widely affordable telecommunications

14

services; . . . (3) Promote diversity in the supply of telecommunications service products throughout the state of Missouri; . . . (6) Allow full and fair competition to function as a substitute for regulation when consistent with the protection of ratepayers and otherwise consistent with the public interest"

The Commission has the legal authority to accept a Stipulation and Agreement as offered by the parties as a resolution of the issues raised in this case, pursuant to § 536.060. Based upon the information contained within the Stipulation and Agreement of the parties, the supporting

information offered at the hearing on October 23, 1996, and on its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Stipulation and Agreement should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Stipulation and Agreement filed by the parties on September 23, 1996 is approved as submitted.
2. That AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. is granted a certificate of service authority to provide local exchange telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, subject to the conditions of certification set out above, to become effective when the applicant's tariff becomes effective.
3. That AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. is granted a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, subject to the conditions of certification set out above, to become effective when the applicant's tariff becomes effective.

15

4. That AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. is classified as a competitive telecommunications company. The following statutes and regulatory rules shall be waived:

Statutes

392.210.2 - uniform system of accounts

392.270 - valuation of property (ratemaking)

392.280 depreciation accounts

392.290.1 - issuance of securities

392.300.2 - acquisition of stock

392.310 - stock and debt issuance

392.320 - stock dividend payment

392.330 - issuance of securities, debts and

notes

392.340 - reorganization(s)

Commission Rules

4 CSR 240-10.020 - depreciation fund income

4 CSR 240-30.040 - uniform system of accounts

4 CSR 240-35 - reporting of bypass and

customer-specific arrangements

5. That the filing of a 45-day tariff as required by 4 CSR

240-2.060(4)(H) is waived until AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.

has entered into a Commission-approved interconnection agreement that

enables it to provide basic local exchange services.

6. That AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. shall file

tariff sheets for approval no later than 30 days after the Commission

approves the required interconnection agreement or agreements. The

proposed tariff shall reflect the rates, rules, regulations and the

services it will offer. The tariff shall include a listing of the statutes

and Commission rules waived under Ordered Paragraph 4.

16

7. That this Report and Order shall become effective on March 4,

1997.

BY THE COMMISSION

Cecil I. Wright

Executive Secretary

(S E A L)

Zobrist, Chm., Kincheloe, Crumpton

and Drainer, CC., concur.

McClure, C., not participating.

**Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 21st day of February, 1997.**